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 Pharisees said unto them, Why do ye that \* which is not »=z0a xz.10.   
 lawful to do on the sabbath days? § And Jesus answer-   
 ing them said, Have ye not read so much as this, » what >1%=.21.   
 David did, when himself was an hungred, and they which   
 were with him; \* how he went into the house of God, and   
 did take and eat the shewbread, and gave also to them   
 that were with him ; ° which it is not lawful to eat but for © Lev.   
   
 the priests alone? 5 And he said unto them, That the   
 Son of man is Lord also of the sabbath. 6 4 And it came to ¢sess-xu.   
 Ver xiv.   
 pass [‘a/so] on another -sabbath, that he entered into the %™%-™   
 synagogue and taught: and there was a man whose right   
 hand was withered. 7 And the scribes and Pharisees   
   
 watched him, whether he would heal on the sabbath day ;   
 that they might find an accusation against him. 8 But he   
 knew their ¥ thoughts, and said to the man which had the   
 withered hand, Rise up, and stand forth in the midst,   
   
 And he arose and stood forth. ® Then said Jesus unto ©   
 them, \*J will ask you one thing; Is it lawful on the   
 sabbath days to do good, or to do evil? to save life, or to   
 destroy it? 10 And looking round about upon them all,   
   
 V omitted by the most ancient authorities.   
 W see above on ch, v. 22: the word is the same,   
 read, 1 ask you whether it is.   
   
 hands is a detail peculiar Luke: rub- Mark and St. Luke have the   
 bing them and blowing away the chaff. exact narrative here. St. Matthew, as   
 2.] In Matthew and Mark, the eee, describes watching of the   
 Pharisees address our Lord, ‘Why do Thy (their thoughts, or ver. 8) as   
 disciples,’ ? 3.] Have ye not words actually spoken, and relates that   
 so much as this? i.e. ‘Are ye so utterly they asked the question : certainly   
 ignorant of the spirit Scripture?’ See arises from an im rt of what   
 Mark xii. 10, where the same on, took place, question itsclf verba-   
 occurs. In one of our ancient tim that which our Lord asked on that   
 the Cambridge MS., the following is the other occasion, Luke xiv. and followed   
 form of ver. On the same day he beheld by a similar about an animal. Thero   
 @ certain man working on the sabbath, can hardly be that in St.   
 and said unto him, O man, if thou know- narrative two occurrences are blended   
 est what thou art doing, art thou: and this may have taken place from tho   
 bat if thou knowest not, accarsed, and a very circumstance of the question about   
 trausgressor of the This remarkable an animal having been asked on both oc-   
 substitution seems to be an interpolation, casions; Luke omitting it because   
 but hardly an invention of « later time. he reports it Matthew joining to   
 Its form and contents for ite origi- it the asked there, he was   
 nality, and, I am disposed to believe, not aware of another similar incident.   
 authenticity. right hand is a mark of accuracy,   
 6—11.] Hzatme. oF THE WITHERED and from an eye-witness. 9.] the   
 HAND. Matt. 9—14 Mark iii. 1— question, St. Mark adds “ But they held   
 6. See on Matthew. 6.] The circam- their peace” they did after question   
 stances related in ch. xiv. are very jast referred in ch. xx. 8, they   
 similar to these; and there St. Luke has were in a dilemma, and either answer   
 inserted the question Matthew, vv. 11, would have convicted them. 10.) St.   
 12. I should be disposed think that St. Mark adds “‘with anger, being at